d) Is the LDP consistent with Future Wales:

Flintshire

- a) Does the LDP place sufficient emphasis on the benefits to sustainability of the use of brownfield land for development? How does the LDP encourage this?
- b) Is the wording of Policy STR4 unduly onerous; should it be qualified by where appropriate Will it have a serious, detrimental effect on the viability of development proposals?
- c) Has sufficient consideration been given to the need for Flintshire transport infrastructure to align with those of neighbouring authorities?
- education, health, everyday shopping, public transport and so on, to meet the needs of future residents?
- e) Is-1802M 60.eisticht Tast(reue) t-0.18 @ 160M 61e WP cleavel of Icopamo 2017 end 550 (el reh) 4 U) 4 M (d) 3 () iouen of as what mechanisms? How will contributions be calculated? What is the position with regard to CIL?
- 6. Matter 6: Economy and Employment and Enterprise (inc Economic Development, Enterprise and Employment; Employment Land Provision)

Key Issue:

Is the economic strategy coherent and based on a clear and robust preparation process? Will it address the Key Issues and Strategic Objectives effectively and efficiently? Are the strategy and strategic policies realistic and appropriate in the light of relevant alternatives and are they based on robust and credible evidence?

- a) Has sufficient employment land been identified? Will the key strategic sites deliver the number of jobs forecast?
- b) In terms of the economy, w

Will it achieve the relevant objectives of the LDP in a sustainable manner consistent with national policy?

Flintshire

- HN1.7 Holywell Rd/Green Lane, Ewloe
- HN1.8 Ash Lane, Hawarden
- HN1.9 Wrexham Road, HCAC
- HN1.10 Cae Isa, New Brighton

For each of these sites, the following will be considered:

- a) Is it clear why the sites have been selected over other candidate and alternative sites?
- b) Are the numbers of units identified realistic and achievable?
- c) What are the various constraints affecting the sites? In the light of constraints, and other matters, where is it set out what the requirements are for each site? Is there sufficient clarity and certainty?
- d) Having regard to constraints, where they exist, as well as the need to provide for affordable housing and infrastructure, are the sites viable?
- e) Are the delivery mechanisms for each site clearly identified? Is the timing and/or phasing of each site clearly set out?

13.Matter 13 ±

- h) Does Policy HN9 provide a clear and consistent framework for assessing proposals for additional Gypsy and Travellers sites, and is it consistent with national policy?
- i) Having regard to Circular 005/2018, is the approach correct insofar as there is a presumption in favour of new Gypsy & Traveller sites on land outside of defined settlements, subject to the criteria being met?
- j) Taken together, would Policies HN8 and HN9 allow the identified need to be met?

15. Matter 15 ± Natural and Built Environment

Including EN1 Sports, Recreation and Cultural facilities; EN2 Green Infrastructure; EN4 Landscape Character; EN5 Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty; EN6: Sites of Biodiversity Importance; EN7: Development Affecting Trees, Woodland and Hedgerows; EN8: Built Historic Environment

Are the policies and requirements clear, reasonable and sufficient?

a) Are all housing, employment and other allocations in the LDP now the subjects of a detailed Strategic Flood Consequences Assessment? Does this result in any significant constraints on the delivery of the allocations?

19. Matter 19 ± Minerals

EN25: Sustainable Minerals Development

Key Issue:

Do the policies and proposals on this matter achieve the relevant objectives of the LDP in a sustainable manner consistent with national policy? Tm 0 Tc()Te2 Tc()Te2 a20